

## APPLICATION NOTE

### ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies

Réne Kalbitz



#### 01. INTRODUCTION AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Impedance and capacitance spectra (or scattering parameters) are common representations of frequency-dependent electrical properties of capacitors. The interpretation of such spectra provides a wide range of electrochemical, physical and technically relevant information. Those need to be separated from the ever-present measurement artifacts as well as parasitic effects.

Since it is sometimes not possible to provide all data in the datasheet, the engineer may have to utilize measured spectra to choose the suitable component for its circuit design. To provide the best possible database, Würth Elektronik eiSos has implemented the online tool [REDEXPERT](#), where spectra but also other measurements are provided.

In this note, we will recap the properties of such spectra and discuss how basic electrical characteristics can be inferred from them.

##### 1.1 Equivalent Circuit of Capacitors

With the circuit, shown in Figure 1, it is possible to model frequency-dependent impedance spectra of all capacitor types ranging from multilayer ceramic capacitors (MLCC) to Supercapacitors (SCs). <sup>[1][2][3][9]</sup>




Figure 1: Standard equivalent circuit as used for capacitors.

The formula sign  $C_S$  is the pure capacitance, which does not exist on its own as an electrical component. Any real capacitor has losses, which “slows down” the charge storing process. This phenomenon is modeled by the pure ohmic equivalent series resistance  $R_{ESR}$  (ESR). The resistance of the current collector and the leads also contribute to the ESR.

The pure lossless capacitance is defined by a differential:

$$C_S = \frac{dQ}{dV} \quad (1)$$

with  $dQ$  as the change of number of charges at the capacitor interface and  $dV$  as the change of voltage at the capacitor.

Any alternating current in a metal conductor induces a magnetic field that opposes the current. In our model, this property is described by the equivalent series inductance  $L_{ESL}$  (ESL). Sometimes it is also referred to as parasitic inductance.  $C_S$ ,  $R_{ESR}$  as well as  $L_{ESL}$  are the most important parameters, necessary to describe the majority of all spectra. In the most basic approach, they are constants and do not change with frequency, which is sufficiently accurate for electrical engineering.

The loss of charges over time, i.e. the leakage current, is described in good approximation by the pure ohmic resistance  $R_{Leak}$ . Usually,  $R_{Leak}$  is magnitudes larger than  $R_{ESR}$  and can often be neglected, i.e.  $R_{Leak} \rightarrow \infty$ . As we will see, its effect may only be visible in the spectra at very low frequencies below 1 Hz or so. A correct description of leakage current is, however, a physically complex issue, which may depend on further parameters such as pre-poling times and temperature. For technical measurement reasons, it is therefore common practice not to state  $R_{Leak}$  but the value of leakage current, along with its measurement conditions in the datasheet.

This equivalent circuit in Figure 1 also yields the possibility to model practically any

- voltage,
- environmental (e.g. temperature) or
- nonlinear frequency

dependency. In this case, all model parameters are simply replaced by suitable mathematical functions or entire circuit sections are replaced by distributed networks. <sup>[3][20][12][11][7]</sup>

##### 1.2 The Impedance and Capacitance Spectra

In the following paragraph, we define frequently used terms and measured quantities, such as capacitance and impedance.

<sup>[1]</sup> The above circuit can be expressed as frequency-dependent complex impedance  $\hat{Z}$ , capacitance  $\hat{C}$ , scattering parameter (S-Parameter)  $\hat{S}$ , permittivity  $\hat{\epsilon}$  or any other measurable complex electrical quantity. Passive components are often characterized in terms of capacitance and

## APPLICATION NOTE

### ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies

impedance. We will therefore lay the focus on these two quantities.

Impedance  $\hat{Z} = \text{Re}(\hat{Z}) + i \cdot \text{Im}(\hat{Z})$ , is a complex quantity, with  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z})$  and  $\text{Im}(\hat{Z})$  as real and imaginary part, respectively. It is often expressed in terms of its magnitude  $|\hat{Z}|$  and phase angle  $\phi$ ,

$$\hat{Z} = |\hat{Z}| \cdot e^{i\phi} \quad (2)$$

In a complex plane, as given in Figure 2,  $\phi$  describes the angle between  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z})$  (abscissa) and the complex vector  $\hat{Z}$ . Physically,  $|\hat{Z}|$  represents the ratio of the voltage amplitude to the current amplitude, while  $\phi$  gives the phase difference between voltage and current at a given frequency. The phase angle  $\phi$  is related to the loss angle with

$$\arctan\left(\frac{\text{Re}(\hat{Z})}{|\text{Im}(\hat{Z})|}\right) = \delta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \phi \quad (3)$$

Figure 2: Vector representation of impedance in the complex plane.  $R_{\text{Leak}}$  is neglected for the sake of simplicity.

In electrical engineering, it is also common to use magnitude  $|\hat{Z}|$  and its equivalent series resistance  $R_{\text{ESR}} = \text{Re}(\hat{Z})$ . With the model in Figure 1, the equivalent series resistance is the real part of the impedance. To graphically show the relation between model and complex quantity  $\hat{Z}$ , all model parameters (apart from  $R_{\text{Leak}}$ ) are also given in Figure 2 (Mathematical description is given in the appendix.)

The impedance may also be transferred into complex capacitance with

$$\hat{C} = \frac{1}{i \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f \cdot \hat{Z}} = \text{Re}(\hat{C}) + i \cdot \text{Im}(\hat{C}) \quad (4)$$

All these quantities, such as  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z})$ ,  $\text{Im}(\hat{Z})$ ,  $|\hat{Z}|$  or  $\delta$ , can be measured with impedance or network analyzers. Any electronic part (not only capacitors) can be characterized by a pair of frequency dependent quantities, such as  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z})$  and  $\text{Im}(\hat{Z})$  or  $\text{Re}(\hat{C})$  and  $\text{Im}(\hat{C})$ . However, it is only due to equivalent circuits such as given in Figure 1, by which measurement results can be interpreted. The model (also referred to as

standard model) provides the mathematical means to extract the electric parameters  $C_s$ ,  $R_{\text{ESR}}$ ,  $L_{\text{ESL}}$  and  $R_{\text{Leak}}$ .

It is not only possible to use the model for the extraction of parameters, but it can also be used to calculate theoretical spectra.

By changing  $C_s$ ,  $R_{\text{ESR}}$ ,  $L_{\text{ESL}}$  and  $R_{\text{Leak}}$ , it is possible to describe or calculate the basic frequency behavior for all capacitors. This is exemplarily demonstrated for impedance and capacitance spectra of a 4.7  $\mu\text{F}$  and a 50 F capacitor, given in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively. In Figure 4, dashed-dotted lines designate the pure capacitive and inductive contributions. The parameters for the two examples are as follows:

- Supercapacitor (WCAP-STSC) with  $C_s = 50 \text{ F}$ ,  $R_{\text{ESR}} = 5 \text{ m}\Omega$ ,  $L_{\text{ESL}} = 5 \text{ nH}$  and  $R_{\text{Leak}} = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$ ,
- Film capacitor (WCAP-FTBE) with  $C_s = 4.7 \mu\text{F}$ ,  $R_{\text{ESR}} = 15 \text{ m}\Omega$ ,  $L_{\text{ESL}} = 5 \text{ nH}$  and  $R_{\text{Leak}} = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$ .

The parameters were chosen such, as to fit actual Würth Elektronik eiSos products found under the match code for film capacitors WCAP-FTBE (4.7  $\mu\text{F}$ ) and SCs WCAP-STSC (50 F). In these plots,  $C_s$ ,  $R_{\text{ESR}}$ ,  $L_{\text{ESL}}$  and  $R_{\text{Leak}}$  were assumed to be constants and independent of frequency (Table 1). This assumption is in most cases in good agreement with actual measurements. However, especially for the  $R_{\text{ESR}}$  a frequency dependence in real measurements is noticeable, as will be discussed in the following sections.

Electrical Parameter	WCAP-FTBE	WCAP-STSC
$C_s$	4.7 $\mu\text{F}$	50 F
$R_{\text{ESR}}$	5 m $\Omega$	15 m $\Omega$
$L_{\text{ESL}}$	5 nH	5 nH
$R_{\text{Leak}}$	10 M $\Omega$	10 M $\Omega$

Table 1: Electrical Parameters used for calculation of spectra.

As mentioned above, we may also think of it the other way around. With this single model, it is possible to derive the product parameters from a measured curve.

Before we look at measured graphs, it is worth the while to have a look at theoretical graphs. They have the advantage that they can be generated for any frequency range, which allows the representation of all features, such as characteristic frequencies, in one graph. [3]

# APPLICATION NOTE

## ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies




Figure 3: Impedance spectra  $|Z|$  for WCAP- FTBE (Top) and WCAP-STSC (Bottom) as calculated from the standard model




Figure 4: Capacitance spectra  $Re(\hat{C})$ , as calculated from the standard model. Graph for WCAP-STSC (orange) corresponds to left-hand ordinate and the graph for the WCAP-FTBE (blue) corresponds to the right-hand ordinate.

Generally, the positions of the most prominent features of the spectra are described by four characteristic frequencies:

Characteristic frequency of the  $R_{ESR}$ -C unit:

$$f_{RC} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot R_{ESR} \cdot C_S} \quad (5)$$

Characteristic frequency of the L-C unit:

$$f_{LC} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot \sqrt{L_{ESL} \cdot C_S}} \quad (6)$$

Characteristic frequency of the  $R_{Leak}$ -C unit:

$$f_{Leak} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot R_{Leak} \cdot C_S} \quad (7)$$

Characteristic frequency of the  $R_{ESR}$ -L unit:

$$f_{RL} = \frac{R_{ESR}}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot L_{ESL}} \quad (8)$$

Two main situations can be distinguished in Figure 3 and Figure 4:

- Lorentz-Oscillation:  $f_{RC} > f_{LC}$  as in the case for  $C_S = 4.7 \mu F$  (blue graph) and
- Debye-Relaxation:  $f_{RC} < f_{LC}$  as in the case for  $C_S = 50 F$  (orange graph). [4][5]

Horizontal dash-dotted lines in both graphs signify the pure capacitive and inductive part. For the imaginary part of the capacitance, please refer to Figure 17 in the appendix.

$f_{RC}$ , the characteristic frequency of the R-C unit, is the frequency at which the capacitor can be charged and discharged. The inverse of the frequency is basically the charging time under ideal constant voltage charging. For the capacitor with  $C_S = 50 F$ , the ideal charging time is about  $2 \cdot \pi \cdot 15 m\Omega \cdot 50 F \approx 5 sec$  below the frequency of  $(5 sec)^{-1}$  the capacitor can utilize its nearly full capacitance ( $>99.9\%$ ). Above this frequency, the capacitor is not fully charged anymore (in reference to the maximum voltage of the AC signal).

At  $f_{RC}$ , the capacitance spectrum (Figure 4) of the Supercapacitor shows a shoulder. Below this frequency, the capacitance value can be inferred from the graph. Above  $f_{RC}$ , the impedance spectrum, given in Figure 3 (Bottom), shows a plateau at  $R_{ESR}$ .

$f_{LC}$ , the characteristic frequency of the L-C unit, is the frequency at which the coupling of parasitic inductance and capacitance leads to a resonant behavior (if  $f_{RC} > f_{LC}$ ). Below this frequency the capacitor acts as capacitor, i.e. can be charged. Above this frequency, the capacitor acts as inductor. The self-resonance results in a sharp minimum in the impedance spectrum (WCAP-FTBE), as given in Figure 3 (Top).

At the minimum of the impedance spectrum, the  $R_{ESR}$  value can be read off.

The capacitance spectrum in Figure 4 shows a pole, which is a special type of singularity. It is actually a real physical behavior and not only a measurement artifact.

The measurement system, which consists of the capacitor and the parasitic inductance, behaves like an oscillator, i.e. resonator. [4]

At the increasing positive branch, the probing signal constructively contributes to the oscillations of the resonator. That is to say, the charge increase  $dQ$  at the interface is disproportionately high, although the magnitude of applied voltage signal  $dV$  remains the same. Since  $C_S = dQ/dV$ , a strong increase of the capacitance is measured. At the maximum, the system is in phase (resonance) with the probing frequency.

A further increase of the probing frequency leads to an abrupt change in sign of the capacitance (singularity). at  $f_{LC}$ .

At the negative branch, the probing signal destructively overlaps with the oscillations of the resonator. The current is actually flowing "in opposite direction" to the probing voltage.

Thus, the applied voltage signal  $dV$  leads effectively to a relative decrease of charge at the capacitor interface  $-dQ$ , which results in a negative capacitance.

$f_{Leak}$  is the characteristic frequency of the  $R_{Leak}$ -C unit. Below this frequency, the capacitor acts like a resistor with resistance  $R_{Leak}$ . That is to say, at very low frequencies, the leakage discharge is larger than the AC charging current. Usually, this effect is rarely visible in the spectra. It requires either measurements to frequencies below 1 Hz or a rather low  $R_{Leak}$ .

$f_{RL}$ , the characteristic frequency of the  $R_{ESR}$ -L unit, is the frequency above which the capacitor acts like an inductor with inductance  $L_{ESL}$ . In cases where  $f_{RC} < f_{LC}$ , it signifies the onset of the increase in impedance at high frequencies. Above this frequency, it is exceedingly difficult to extract  $R_{ESR}$  values from measured impedance spectra.

## 02. MEASURED IMPEDANCE SPECTRA AND CAPACITANCE SPECTRA

The following sections will discuss spectra on different capacitor types, exemplarily chosen from the Würth Elektronik eiSos portfolio. The standard model, depicted in Figure 1, uses a frequency-independent ohmic resistance  $R_{ESR}$ . However, physical processes as well as measurement artifacts may lead to deviations from the idealized ohmic behavior, as will be seen in the below section [6][7]

### 2.1 Supercapacitors WCAP-STSC

The below-presented spectra were measured with the impedance analyzer Alpha-AK, POT/GAL from Novocontrol in a four-terminal Kelvin configuration. The four-terminal Kelvin configuration has the advantage of enabling a high phase angle resolution of about  $0.001^\circ$ , since the voltage measurement probes are independent of the current supply leads.

The measured impedance spectrum of a SC with 50 F in Figure 5 shows the same features as the corresponding theoretical spectrum in Figure 3.

In this case ( $f_{RC} < f_{LC}$ ),  $f_{RC}$  is below 1 Hz and thus several magnitudes smaller than  $f_{LC}$ . As a result, the spectrum shows a flat bottom region from which  $R_{ESR}$  can be inferred. The increase of  $R_{ESR}$  towards lower frequencies is more clearly visible in the spectrum of  $\text{Re}(Z^*) = R_{ESR}$  in Figure 6.

This frequency dependence is not a measurement artefact, however, attributed to real physical phenomena:

- distributed network of porous electrodes and
- the ionic charge transport in the electrolyte of the EDLC.

[8][9][10][11][12][13]

A physical interpretation of the spectra is: The slower the SC is charged, the more pores can be infiltrated by charges, which leads to the increase of capacitance. Due to the viscous solvent, the ions require more time to insert the smaller pores, which in turn leads to an increase of  $R_{ESR}$  toward low frequencies.




Figure 5: Measured impedance spectrum  $|Z|$  of 50 F Supercapacitor, WCAP-STSC.

The values of  $\text{Re}(Z) = R_{ESR}$  below  $f_{RC}$  and above  $f_{LC}$  become unreliable, since the spectra become dominated by the capacitive and parasitic inductive behavior.

The shoulder at  $f_{Leak}$  is not visible, since it is practically difficult to measure toward such low frequencies. (Also for other capacitor technologies, it is not common practice to measure

at such low frequencies. The shoulder at  $f_{Leak}$  is usually not depicted in impedance spectra.)




Figure 6: Measured spectrum of the real part of the impedance ( $Re(\hat{Z})=R_{ESR}$ ) of 50 F Supercapacitor, WCAP-STSC.

The capacitance spectrum in Figure 7 shows the typical shoulder situated at the characteristic frequency of the  $R_{ESR}-C$  unit  $f_{RC}$ . The height of the shoulder is at about 51 F (0.01 Hz) with a slight increase toward lower frequencies. This increase is especially pronounced in electrolytic capacitors such as Supercaps. It is, as already mentioned above, mainly caused by the charge storing at porous interfaces as well as pseudo-capacitive processes. Mathematically, it can be well described by a set of distributed R-C networks.<sup>[3]</sup>

The characteristic frequency is, as shown above, governed by the term  $R_{ESR} C_S$ . Due to the relatively high capacities, the characteristic frequencies have to shift to frequencies around or even below 1 Hz. The indicated frequency  $f_{RC}$  Figure 7 is about 0.21 Hz. The inverse of  $f_{RC}$  can be interpreted as lower limit for the constant voltage charging time, which in this case is:

$$\frac{1}{f_{RC}} = \frac{1}{0.21 \text{ Hz}} \approx 5 \text{ s.} \quad (9)$$




Figure 7: Measured capacitance spectrum of 50 F Supercapacitor, WCAP-STSC.

The following characteristic values can be extracted from the measured spectra above:

- $C_S$  (0.01 Hz)=51 F
- $R_{ESR}$  ( $f_{RC}=0.2 \text{ Hz}$ ) = 0.012  $\Omega$
- $R_{ESR}$  ( $f_{LC}=160 \text{ Hz}$ ) = 0.007  $\Omega$

### 2.2 Aluminum Electrolytic Capacitors, WCAP-AIG8

The following spectra were measured with the impedance analyzer Alpha-AK, POT/GAL from Novocontrol in a four-terminal Kelvin configuration.

In principle, the charge storing mechanism of the aluminum electrolytic capacitor (E-Cap) is comparable to the one of the SC. The energy is stored by electrolytic charges at a porous interface. However, the E-Cap utilizes a thin porous aluminum oxide layer as a dielectric. The porosity of this layer again leads to large effective surfaces and relatively large capacitance. It is due to the large capacitance that for this type of capacitor  $f_{RC}$  is often (not always!) still lower than  $f_{LC}$ , as indicated in the measured impedance spectrum of a 270  $\mu\text{F}$  capacitor in Figure 8. Compared to the SC,  $f_{LC}$  has shifted towards higher frequencies. The capacitive contribution is more pronounced and thus appears also further shifted to higher frequencies. The contribution of the parasitic inductance is about the same. Hence, the flat bottom region is much smaller than for the SC.




Figure 8: Measured impedance spectrum  $|Z|$  of 270  $\mu\text{F}$  aluminum electrolytic capacitor.

In general, all features of the spectra in Figure 9 and Figure 10 appear shifted to higher frequencies. The interpretation of the capacitance spectrum in Figure 9, with its characteristic shoulder, is similar to the one for the SC.

# APPLICATION NOTE

## ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies




Figure 9: Measured capacitance spectrum of 270 µF aluminum electrolytic capacitor.

The equivalent series resistance  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z}) = R_{\text{ESR}}$ , given in Figure 10, also shows the increase toward low frequencies. However, below 1 kHz or so the spectrum shows a strong increase toward lower frequencies. This strong increase is, however, probably not due to any real physical effect, it is a measurement artefact. It is a common effect that always happens, if the loss angle is very close to zero. In this region, the equipment is not able to clearly separate the real and imaginary part from each other, which results in an overestimated output of the real part.

Hence, the correct interpretation of the spectra at low loss angles, which corresponds to low or high frequencies with respect to  $f_{\text{LC}}$ , is usually difficult, since the loss angle resolution of the most LCR meters is not smaller than 0.1 degree or so. However, that also means that generally, the values around or at  $f_{\text{LC}}$  are most trustworthy.

In the end, a correct interpretation of any impedance spectra is only possible with the experimental details of the measurement.




Figure 10: Measured spectrum of the real part of the impedance ( $\text{Re}(\hat{Z}) = R_{\text{ESR}}$ ) of 270 µF aluminum electrolytic capacitor.

The following characteristic values can be extracted from the measured spectra above. The dissipation factor

$\text{DF} = R_{\text{ESR}}/X_C = 2 \pi f C_S R_{\text{ESR}}$  is stated to improve comparability with datasheets and other documentation. The results for frequencies  $\ll f_{\text{LC}}$  are given for the sake of completeness. They may contain a large error as is explained in the Appendix and elsewhere. [14][6][15][16]

- $C_S$  (120 Hz) = 265 µF
- $R_{\text{ESR}}$  (120 Hz) = 0.14 Ω
- $R_{\text{ESR}}$  ( $f_{\text{LC}} = 68.5$  kHz) = 0.04 Ω
- $\text{DF}$  (120 Hz) =  $R_{\text{ESR}}/X_C = 2 \pi f C_S R_{\text{ESR}} = 2.8 \%$
- $\text{DF}$  ( $f_{\text{LC}} = 68.5$  kHz) =  $2 \pi f C_S R_{\text{ESR}} = 0.8 \%$ .  
(Capacitive Reactance:  $X_C = 1/(2 \pi f C_S)$ )

### 2.3 Film Capacitors, WCAP-FTBE

The below spectra were measured with Agilent E5061B Network Analyzer in a shunt-through configuration. [15]

The measured impedance spectrum of a 470 nF Film capacitor, in Figure 11, shows in principle the same features as the calculated spectra, given in Figure 3 (Top). Due to the low capacitance  $f_{\text{RC}} > f_{\text{LC}}$ , as indicated by the dashed lines, which results in a graph with a sharp minimum at  $f_{\text{LC}} = 1.94$  MHz. The resistance value at the minimum is roughly the  $R_{\text{ESR}}$  at  $f_{\text{LC}}$ , which in this case is about 0.04 Ω.




Figure 11: Measured impedance spectrum  $|\hat{Z}|$  of 470 nF film capacitor.

The measured spectrum of the equivalent series resistance  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z}) = R_{\text{ESR}}$ , given in Figure 12, shows a bathtub-like shape with a minimum around  $f_{\text{LC}}$ . The increase at high and low frequencies is very likely not the actual physically correct ESR behavior of the capacitor, but a measurement artifact from the low capacitance (high impedance) and the parasitic inductance.

The separation of a small real part from a large imaginary part is technically difficult. In the end, the accuracy and the resolution of measurable loss angle, i.e. phase angle, determines the accuracy of the measured impedance. Accuracy plots for the used equipment (Figure 22, Figure 24) and an error calculation for this measurement are given in the



Appendix. At these demanding conditions, analyzers often overestimate  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z})$ . [14][6][15]

The network analyzer has no separate current and voltage connections, which usually leads to a lower phase accuracy than for four-terminal Kelvin configurations. Hence, it is a common phenomenon that ESR values, if measured in such a configuration, are overestimated. For the sake of prudence, it is best to consider those values as conservative estimates.

Hence, it is practically difficult to say to what extent the measured  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z})$  in Figure 12 is correct. Certainly, around  $f_{LC}$ , the results of approximately  $0.04 \Omega$  are most trustworthy and can be considered as a conservative estimate.




Figure 12: Measured spectrum of the real part of the impedance ( $\text{Re}(\hat{Z}) = R_{ESR}$ ) of a 470 nF film capacitor.

The measured capacitance spectrum in Figure 13 shows the overall features of the calculated spectrum, given in Figure 4. It has a plateau region at low frequencies and a singularity, positioned at  $f_{LC}$ . The measured capacitance, as read from the plateau region, is about 495 nF. The measured capacitance with its hyperbolic behavior is physically correct and not some sort of measurement artefact (see section 1.2).




Figure 13: Measured capacitance spectrum of a 470 nF film capacitor.

The parasitic inductance may change as function of the length of circuit path or temperature. As a consequence, also the position of  $f_{LC}$  may change accordingly. It is therefore in

practice important to operate the application not in the vicinity of  $f_{LC}$ .

The following characteristic values can be extracted from the measured spectra above. The dissipation factor  $DF = R_{ESR}/X_C = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f \cdot C_S \cdot R_{ESR}$  is calculated to improve comparability with datasheets and other documentations. The results for frequencies  $\ll f_{LC}$  are given for the sake of completeness. They may contain a large error as explained in the Appendix and elsewhere. [14][6][15][16]

- $C_S$  (1 kHz) = 495 nF
- $R_{ESR}$  (1 kHz) = 2.2  $\Omega$
- $R_{ESR}$  ( $f_{LC} = 1.94$  MHz) = 0.04  $\Omega$
- $DF$  (1 kHz) =  $2 \cdot \pi \cdot f \cdot C_S \cdot R_{ESR} = 0.68 \%$
- $DF$  ( $f_{LC} = 1.94$  MHz) =  $2 \cdot \pi \cdot f \cdot C_S \cdot R_{ESR} = 24 \%$ .

### 2.4 Multilayer Ceramic Chip Capacitor, WCAP-CSGP

The following spectra were measured with Agilent E5061B Network Analyzer in a shunt-through configuration.

The impedance spectrum, given in Figure 14, is qualitatively the same as for the film capacitor in section 2.3. Due to the lower rated capacitance of 22 nF the impedance spectrum is shifted to higher frequencies with  $f_{LC} = 45.8$  MHz. As in the last chapter  $f_{RC} > f_{LC}$ , which leads to a sharp minimum at  $f_{LC}$




Figure 14: Measured impedance spectrum  $|\hat{Z}|$  of 22 nF MLCC.

The ESR, as inferred from the minimum at  $f_{LC}$ , is about 0.06  $\Omega$ , which for the same reasons as discussed in section 2.3, could be considered a conservative estimate. The actual ESR might be even lower.

The capacitance spectrum in Figure 15 shows, as discussed above, the typical resonant behavior. From its constant plateau region, we may read a capacitance of about 23 nF.

## APPLICATION NOTE

### ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies




Figure 15: Measured capacitance spectrum of 22 nF MLCC.

Figure 16 shows the ESR spectrum with a shallow U-like shape. As discussed in the last chapter, the increase toward low and high frequencies is probably the result of incorrect separation of real and imaginary part of the impedance by the measurement equipment, i.e. small loss angle  $\delta$ .




Figure 16: Measured spectrum of the real part of the impedance ( $\text{Re}(\hat{Z})=R_{\text{ESR}}$ ) of 22 nF MLCC.

The increase toward lower frequencies could be partially explained by the increase in dielectric loss due to ionic polarization of larger domain structures or charge hopping induced conductivity. However, the actual value of about  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z}) = 3000 \Omega$  at 1 kHz clearly suggests, that the increase is largely attributed to an insufficient resolution of the loss angle. As mentioned before, it is technically difficult to separate the real part from a relatively large imaginary part, i.e. small loss angle (see Appendix, Figure 23).

The increase toward higher frequencies could be due to the skin effect. The geometrical factor of the electrodes of an MLCC makes a scientifically sound analysis of this effect difficult. Usually studies of skin effect are conducted on cylindrical geometries. Those results cannot be quantitatively used for measurements of MLCCs. [17][18][19]

Due to the resolution limits of the loss angle, the  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z}) = R_{\text{ESR}}$  spectra have often a minimum around  $f_{\text{LC}}$  and strongly increasing slopes toward low and high frequencies. This measurement effect will superimpose any potential skin effect (see Figure 25) [17]

To cut a long story short, ESR spectra of LCR resonators are most trustworthy in the region around  $f_{\text{LC}}$ . Beyond that, they require, not always but often, further technical knowledge for interpretation.

The following characteristic values can be extracted from the measured spectra above. The dissipation factor  $\text{DF} = R_{\text{ESR}}/X_C = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f \cdot C_S \cdot R_{\text{ESR}}$  is given to improve comparability with datasheets and other documentations. The results for frequencies  $\ll f_{\text{LC}}$  are given for the sake of completeness. They may contain a large error as is explained in the Appendix and elsewhere. [14][6][15][16]

- $C_S$  (1 kHz) = 23 nF
- $R_{\text{ESR}}$  ( $f_{\text{LC}} = 46 \text{ MHz}$ ) = 0.06  $\Omega$
- $\text{DF}$  ( $f_{\text{LC}} = 46 \text{ MHz}$ ) =  $2 \cdot \pi \cdot f \cdot C_S \cdot R_{\text{ESR}} = 38 \%$

### 03. CONCLUSION

The standard model, given in Figure 1, is a suitable means to interpret the technically important features of all commercially relevant capacitors. In most cases, it is even sufficient to use an even simpler model, which neglects  $R_{\text{Leak}}$ . It was furthermore demonstrated how the model parameters, such as  $C_S$  and  $R_{\text{ESR}}$ , can be extracted from measured spectra.

The deviations from that model as well as aspects of the measurement accuracy have been exemplarily discussed on measured spectra. Especially the separation of real and imaginary part at small loss angles is defective. In the self-resonant case, the  $R_{\text{ESR}}$  spectra can only be correctly measured around  $f_{\text{LC}}$ . Due to this reason the physical phenomena, such as skin effect, are very likely superimposed by a large error and cannot be studied in the measured  $R_{\text{ESR}}$  spectra.



### A Appendix

#### A.1 Graphs and Figures




Figure 17: Capacitance spectrum  $\text{Im}(\hat{C})$  as calculated from the standard model. Corresponds to spectrum  $\text{Re}(\hat{C})$  in Figure 4. Gray lines indicate  $\text{Re}(\hat{C})$ .

Interpretation of  $\text{Im}(\hat{C})$  (Figure 17) for R-C unit:  $\text{Im}(\hat{C})$  describes the dissipation of energy in a capacitive system, associated with the movement of charges. This dielectric relaxation, i.e. absorption band, is caused by the reorientation of permanent molecular dipoles, induced by the applied alternating electric field.

$\text{Im}(\hat{C})$  is mathematically related to the  $\text{Re}(\hat{C})$  by the Kramers-Kronig relation. In case of a Debye relaxation,  $\text{Im}(\hat{C})$  can be conveniently used to read off  $f_{RC}$ . The height of peak of  $\text{Im}(\hat{C})$  at  $f_{RC}$  is for the Debye relaxation  $C_S/2$ .

An example of calculation for a Lorentz oscillation ( $f_{RC} > f_{LC}$ ) is given in Figure 18. The parameters for the plot are such to show all details of the curve progression. The graph does not necessarily correspond to a specific capacitor product.




Figure 18: Example of calculation for a Lorentz relaxation ( $f_{RC} > f_{LC}$ ). Parameters are such as to see all details.




Figure 19: Phase shift angle  $\phi(f)$  for WCAP-FTBE as calculated from the standard model. Corresponds to impedance spectra in Figure 3. Polarization contributions at higher frequencies, such as electronic polarizations, are neglected, i.e.  $C(f \rightarrow \infty) = 0$ .




Figure 20: Loss angle  $\delta(f)$  for WCAP-FTBE as calculated from the standard model. Corresponds to impedance spectra in Figure 3. Polarization contributions at higher frequencies, such as electronic polarizations, are neglected, i.e.  $C(f \rightarrow \infty) = 0$ .




Figure 21: P Phase shift angle  $\phi(f)$  for WCAP-STSC as calculated from the standard model. Corresponds to impedance spectra in Figure 3. Polarization contributions at higher frequencies, such as electronic polarizations, are neglected, i.e.  $C(f \rightarrow \infty) = 0$ .

# APPLICATION NOTE

## ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies





Figure 22: Loss angle  $\delta(f)$  for WCAP-STSC as calculated from the standard model. Corresponds to impedance spectra in Figure 3 Polarization contributions at higher frequencies, such as electronic polarizations, are neglected, i.e.  $C(f \rightarrow \infty) = 0$ .



e5061b140

Figure 23: Accuracy plot for E5061B ENA Vector Network Analyzer from Keysight. Conditions for 10 % measurement accuracy range (Source: externally <sup>[15]</sup>)




Figure 24: Phase accuracy for E5061B ENA Vector Network Analyzer from Keysight. (Source: externally <sup>[15][16]</sup>)




Fig. 1—Surface Resistivity and Depth of Penetration.

Figure 25: Surface resistivity and depth of penetration for different materials at room temperature. (Source: externally <sup>[17]</sup>)

### A.2 Formulas and Notes

Mathematical description of the equivalent circuit in section

1.1 (temporal frequency:  $f$ , angular frequency:  $\omega = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot f$ )

$$\hat{Z}(\omega) = R_{ESR} + i \cdot \omega L_{ESL} + \frac{R_{Leak}(i\omega C_S)^{-1}}{R_{Leak} + (i\omega C_S)^{-1}} \quad (A1)$$

$$\hat{Z}(\omega) = \text{Re}(\hat{Z}) + i \cdot \text{Im}(\hat{Z}) \quad (A2)$$

$$\text{Re}(\hat{Z}) = \frac{\omega^2 R_{ESR} R_{Leak}^2 C_S^2 + R_{ESR} + R_{Leak}}{\omega^2 R_{Leak}^2 C_S^2 + 1} \quad (A3)$$

$$\text{Im}(\hat{Z}) = \frac{\omega(\omega^2 R_{Leak}^2 L_{ESL} C_S^2 - R_{Leak}^2 C_S + L_{ESL})}{\omega^2 R_{Leak}^2 C_S^2 + 1} \quad (A4)$$

$$\hat{Z}(\omega) = \frac{(i\omega)^2 R_{Leak} L_{ESL} C_S + i\omega(R_{ESR} R_{Leak} C_S + L_{ESL}) + R_{ESR} + R_{Leak}}{i\omega R_{Leak} C_S + 1} \quad (A5)$$

In electrical engineering  $\text{Re}(\hat{Z})$  and  $\text{Im}(\hat{Z})$  are referred to as equivalent series resistance and equivalent series reactance, respectively. The dissipation factor DF is calculated with:

$$DF = \frac{\text{Re}(\hat{Z})}{\text{Im}(\hat{Z})} \quad (A6)$$

$$DF = \frac{\omega^2 R_{ESR} R_{Leak}^2 C_S^2 + R_{ESR} + R_{Leak}}{\omega(\omega^2 R_{Leak}^2 L_{ESL} C_S^2 - R_{Leak}^2 C_S + L_{ESL})} \quad (A7)$$

With the relation  $\hat{Z} = 1/i\omega\hat{C}$  capacitance is calculated under the simplification that  $R_{Leak} \rightarrow \infty$  und  $L_{ESL} \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\hat{C}(\omega) = \frac{C_S}{1 + (\omega R_{ESR} C_S)^2} - i \cdot \frac{\omega C_S^2 R_{ESR}}{1 + (\omega R_{ESR} C_S)^2} \quad (A8)$$

Characteristic frequency of the  $R_{ESR}$ -C unit: Solution of equation  $\text{Im}[\hat{C}(f_{RC})] = C_S \frac{1}{2}$  is

$$f_{RC} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot R_{ESR} \cdot C_S}$$

Characteristic frequency of the L-C unit:

Solution of  $\text{Im}(\hat{Z}(\omega_{LC})) = 0$  yields

$$\omega_{LC} = 2\pi f_{LC} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_{ESL} \cdot C_S}}$$

Characteristic frequency of the  $R_{Leak}$ -C unit ( $R_{Leak} \rightarrow \infty$ ):

A pole of equation (A5) is a zero of  $i\omega R_{Leak} C_S + 1$ . The solution of  $i(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_{Leak}) R_{Leak} C_S + 1 = 0$  yields

$$f_{Leak} = \frac{i}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot R_{Leak} \cdot C_S}$$

For the sake of simplicity we keep the formula sign for the absolute value:

$$f_{Leak} = \frac{i}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot R_{Leak} \cdot C_S}$$

Characteristic frequency of the  $R_{ESR}$ -L unit ( $R_{Leak} \rightarrow \infty$ ):

Solution of  $0 = R_{ESR} - 2\pi f_{RL} L_{ESL}$  for  $f_{RL}$  yields

$$f_{RL} = \frac{R_{ESR}}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot L_{ESL}}$$

Effect of limited loss angle resolution:

Since the analyzer cannot measure loss angles below its resolution limit, DF will assume its minimum and remain constant for high and low frequencies (with respect to  $f_{LC}$ ). Consequently, in those high and low frequency regimes the ESR will become proportional to the reactance, with DF as proportionality factor. Therefore, measured ESR spectra will often show a bathtub-like shape, where the position of the minimum of the ESR spectra coincides with the minimum of the impedance spectra.

To access the accuracy of the measured ESR, it is always necessary to consider the phase angle resolution. If the measured loss angle or DF is at the resolution limit of the analyzer, it is difficult to retrieve correct ESR spectra.

Example of calculation of loss angle measurement error with loss angle resolution limit  $\delta_\Delta$ :

The relative error associated to the  $\tan \delta$  is calculated with:

$$\Delta = \frac{\tan(\delta + \delta_\Delta) - \tan \delta}{\tan \delta} \cdot 100\%$$

The results for the measured frequencies  $f_e$  in Table 2 are calculated on the basis of spectra, given in Figure 12. They exemplify the error  $\Delta$  associated to the measurement of above film capacitors (WCAP-FTBE).

## APPLICATION NOTE

### ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies

Parameter	Value	Value	Value
$f_e$ in kHz	450	10	1
$\text{Im}(\hat{Z})$ in $\Omega$ $C_s=4.7$ nF	0.75	33.86	338.63
$\text{Re}(\hat{Z})$ at $f_e$ in $\Omega$	0.039	0.31	2.23
$\delta_\Delta$ in $^\circ$ (Figure 24)	0.30	0.30	0.30
$\tan \delta$	0.052	0.009	0.007
$\delta$ in $^\circ$	2.967	0.525	0.377
$\Delta$ in %	<u>10.1</u>	<u>57.2</u>	<u>79.5</u>

Table 2: Values for exemplary error calculation

### A.3 References

- [1] Editors: E. Barsoukov, J. R. Macdonald, Impedance Spectroscopy: Theory, Experiment, and Applications, Third Edition, John Wiley & Sons (2018)
- [2] Luis Moura and Izzat Darwazeh, Introduction to Linear Circuit Analysis and Modelling: From DC to RF, Newnes an imprint of Elsevier (2005)
- [3] B. E. Conway, Electrochemical Supercapacitors – Scientific Fundamentals and Technological Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Springer, Boston (1999)
- [4] A. F. J. Levi, Essential Classical Mechanics for Device Physics, Morgan & Claypool Publishers (2016)
- [5] R. M. Hill and L. A. Dissado, Debye and non-Debye relaxation, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics, 18(19):3829-3836 (1985)
- [6] D Edwards, J.-H Hwang, S.J Ford, T.O Mason, Experimental limitations in impedance spectroscopy: Part V. Apparatus contributions and corrections, Solid State Ionics, 99(1,2):85-93 (1997)
- [7] Jong-Sook Lee et al., Impedance Spectroscopy Models for X5R Multilayer Ceramic Capacitors, Journal of the Korean Ceramic Society, 49(5):475-483 (2012)
- [8] R. Kötz et al., Temperature behavior and impedance fundamentals of supercapacitors, Journal of Power Sources, 154:550-555 (2006)
- [9] M. Schönleber, E. Ivers-Tiffée, Approximability of impedance spectra by RC elements and implications for impedance analysis, Electrochemistry Communications, 58:15-19 (2015)
- [10] Bing-Ang Mei et al., Physical Interpretations of Nyquist Plots for EDLC Electrodes and Devices, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 122(1): 194-206 (2018)
- [11] M.R. Hasyim, D. Ma and R. Rajagopalan and C. Randall, Prediction of Charge-Discharge and Impedance Characteristics of Electric Double-Layer Capacitors Using Porous Electrode Theory, The Electrochemical Society, 164(13): A2899-A2913 (2017)
- [12] V. Srinivasan and J. W. Weidner, Mathematical Modeling of Electrochemical Capacitors, Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 146: 1650-1658 (1999)
- [13] M. Itagaki, Electrochemical Impedance and Complex Capacitance to Interpret Electrochemical Capacitor, Electrochemistry, 75(8):649-655 (2007)
- [14] T. Stolzke et al., Comprehensive accuracy examination of electrical power loss measurements of inductive components for frequencies up to 1 MHz, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, 497: 166022 (2020)
- [15] Online Manual, E5061B Network Analyzer, Keysight, URL:  
<http://ena.support.keysight.com/e5061b/manuals/webhelp/eng/?nid=-32496.1150148.00&cc=CA&lc=eng&id=1790874>
- [16] Datasheet, E5061B Network Analyzer, Keysight URL:  
[http://ena.support.keysight.com/e5061b/manuals/webhelp/eng/product\\_information/specifications.htm](http://ena.support.keysight.com/e5061b/manuals/webhelp/eng/product_information/specifications.htm)
- [17] H.A. Wheeler, Formulas for the Skin Effect, Proceedings of the IRE, 30(9):412-424 (1942)
- [18] A. E. Kennelly, F. A. Laws and P. H. Pierce, Experimental Researches on Skin Effect in Conductors, in Transactions of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers, 34(2):1953-2021 (1915)
- [19] G. Schröder J. Kaumanns, R. Plath, Advanced measurement of AC resistance on skin-effect reduced large conductor power cables ,8th International Conference on Insulated Power Cables, Versailles – France (2011)
- [20] E. Ivers-Tiffée and Aweber, Evaluation of electrochemical impedance spectra by the distribution of relaxation times, Journal of the Ceramic Society of Japan, 125(4):193-201 (2017)

# APPLICATION NOTE

## ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies

### IMPORTANT NOTICE

The Application Note is based on our knowledge and experience of typical requirements concerning these areas. It serves as general guidance and should not be construed as a commitment for the suitability for customer applications by Würth Elektronik eiSos GmbH & Co. KG. The information in the Application Note is subject to change without notice. This document and parts thereof must not be reproduced or copied without written permission, and contents thereof must not be imparted to a third party nor be used for any unauthorized purpose.

Würth Elektronik eiSos GmbH & Co. KG and its subsidiaries and affiliates (WE) are not liable for application assistance of any kind. Customers may use WE's assistance and product recommendations for their applications and design. The responsibility for the applicability and use of WE Products in a particular customer design is always solely within the authority of the customer. Due to this fact it is up to the customer to evaluate and investigate, where appropriate, and decide whether the device with the specific product characteristics described in the product specification is valid and suitable for the respective customer application or not.

The technical specifications are stated in the current datasheet of the products. Therefore the customers shall use the datasheets and are cautioned to verify that datasheets are current. The current datasheets can be downloaded at [www.we-online.com](http://www.we-online.com). Customers shall strictly observe any product-specific notes, cautions and warnings. WE reserves the right to make corrections, modifications, enhancements, improvements, and other changes to its products and services.

WE DOES NOT WARRANT OR REPRESENT THAT ANY LICENSE, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, IS GRANTED UNDER ANY PATENT

RIGHT, COPYRIGHT, MASK WORK RIGHT, OR OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT RELATING TO ANY COMBINATION, MACHINE, OR PROCESS IN WHICH WE PRODUCTS OR SERVICES ARE USED. INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY WE REGARDING THIRD-PARTY PRODUCTS OR SERVICES DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A LICENSE FROM WE TO USE SUCH PRODUCTS OR SERVICES OR A WARRANTY OR ENDORSEMENT THEREOF.

WE products are not authorized for use in safety-critical applications, or where a failure of the product is reasonably expected to cause severe personal injury or death. Moreover, WE products are neither designed nor intended for use in areas such as military, aerospace, aviation, nuclear control, submarine, transportation (automotive control, train control, ship control), transportation signal, disaster prevention, medical, public information network etc. Customers shall inform WE about the intent of such usage before design-in stage. In certain customer applications requiring a very high level of safety and in which the malfunction or failure of an electronic component could endanger human life or health, customers must ensure that they have all necessary expertise in the safety and regulatory ramifications of their applications. Customers acknowledge and agree that they are solely responsible for all legal, regulatory and safety-related requirements concerning their products and any use of WE products in such safety-critical applications, notwithstanding any applications-related information or support that may be provided by WE.

CUSTOMERS SHALL INDEMNIFY WE AGAINST ANY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF WE PRODUCTS IN SUCH SAFETY-CRITICAL APPLICATIONS

### USEFUL LINKS



Application Notes  
[www.we-online.com/appnotes](http://www.we-online.com/appnotes)



**REDEXPERT** Design Plattform  
[www.we-online.com/redexpert](http://www.we-online.com/redexpert)



Toolbox  
[www.we-online.com/toolbox](http://www.we-online.com/toolbox)



Product Catalog  
[www.we-online.com/products](http://www.we-online.com/products)

### CONTACT INFORMATION

[appnotes@we-online.com](mailto:appnotes@we-online.com)

Tel. +49 7942 945 - 0



Würth Elektronik eiSos GmbH & Co. KG  
Max-Eyth-Str. 1 · 74638 Waldenburg  
Germany



[www.we-online.com](http://www.we-online.com)



# APPLICATION NOTE

## ANP109 | Impedance Spectra of Different Capacitor Technologies

### REVISION HISTORY

Document Version	Release Date	Changes
ANP109a	2022/08/10	Initial release of the application note
ANP109b	2025/09/05	Change of figure 11, figure 12, figure 13, figure 19: change of legend/title

**Note:** The current version of the document and the release date are indicated in the footer of each page of this document.